LoRaLay: A Multilingual and Multimodal Dataset for Long Range and Layout-Aware Summarization

Laura Nguyen^{1,3}

Thomas Scialom^{2*} Benjamin Piwowarski³ Jacopo Staiano^{4*}

¹reciTAL, Paris, France ²Meta AI, Paris, France ³Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ISIR, F-75005 Paris, France

⁴University of Trento, Italy

laura@recital.ai tscialom@fb.com benjamin.piwowarski@cnrs.fr jacopo.staiano@unitn.it

Abstract

Text Summarization is a popular task and an active area of research for the Natural Language Processing community. It requires accounting for long input texts, a characteristic which poses computational challenges for neural models. Moreover, real-world documents come in a variety of complex, visually-rich, layouts. This information is of great relevance, whether to highlight salient content or to encode long-range interactions between textual passages. Yet, all publicly available summarization datasets only provide plain text content. To facilitate research on how to exploit visual/layout information to better capture longrange dependencies in summarization models, we present LoRaLay, a collection of datasets for long-range summarization with accompanying visual/layout information. We extend existing and popular English datasets (arXiv and PubMed) with visual/layout information and propose four novel datasets - consistently built from scholar resources - covering French, Spanish, Portuguese, and Korean languages. Further, we propose new baselines merging layout-aware and long-range models - two orthogonal approaches - and obtain state-of-theart results, showing the importance of combining both lines of research.

1 Introduction

Deep learning techniques have enabled remarkable progress in Natural Language Processing (NLP) in recent years (Devlin et al., 2018; Raffel et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2020). However, the majority of models, benchmarks, and tasks have been designed for unimodal approaches, i.e. focusing exclusively on a single source of information, namely plain text. While it can be argued that for specific NLP tasks, such as textual entailment or machine translation, plain text is all that is needed, there exist several tasks for which disregarding the visual appearance of text is clearly sub-optimal: in a real-world context (business documentation, scientific articles, etc.), text does not naturally come as a sequence of characters, but is rather displayed in a bi-dimensional space containing rich visual information. The layout of e.g. this very paper provides valuable semantics to the reader: in which section are we right now? At the blink of an eye, this information is readily accessible via the salient section title (formatted differently and placed to highlight its role) preceding these words. Just to emphasize this point, *imagine having to scroll this content in plain text to access such information*.

In the last couple of years, the research community has shown a growing interest in addressing these limitations. Several approaches have been proposed to deal with visually-rich documents and integrate layout information into language models, with direct applications to Document Understanding tasks. Joint multi-modal pretraining (Xu et al., 2021; Powalski et al., 2021; Appalaraju et al., 2021) has been key to reach state-of-the-art performance on several benchmarks (Jaume et al., 2019; Graliński et al., 2020; Mathew et al., 2021). Nonetheless, a remaining limitation is that these (transformer-based) approaches are not suitable for processing long documents, the quadratic complexity of self-attention constraining their use to short sequences. Such models are hence unable to encode global context (e.g. long-range dependencies among text blocks).

Focusing on compressing the most relevant information from long texts to short summaries, the Text Summarization task naturally lends itself to benefit from such global context. Notice that, in practice, the limitations linked to sequence length are also amplified by the lack of visual/layout information in the existing datasets. Therefore, in this work, we aim at spurring further research on how to incorporate multimodal information to better capture long-range dependencies.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

^{*}Work partially done while at reciTAL.

- We extend two popular datasets for long-range summarization, arXiv and PubMed (Cohan et al., 2018), by including visual and layout information – thus allowing direct comparison with previous works;
- We release 4 additional layout-aware summarization datasets (128K documents), covering French, Spanish, Portuguese, and Korean languages;
- We provide baselines including adapted architectures for multi-modal long-range summarization, and report results showing that (1) performance is far from being optimal; and (2) layout provides valuable information.

All the datasets are available on HuggingFace.¹

2 Related Work

2.1 Layout/Visually-rich Datasets

Document Understanding covers problems that involve reading and interpreting visually-rich documents (in contrast to plain texts), requiring comprehending the conveyed multimodal information. Hence, several tasks with a central layout aspect have been proposed by the document understanding community. Key Information Extraction tasks consist in extracting the values of a given set of keys, e.g., the total amount in a receipt or the date in a form. In such tasks, documents have a layout structure that is crucial for their interpretation. Notable datasets include FUNSD (Jaume et al., 2019) for form understanding in scanned documents, and SROIE (Huang et al., 2019), as well as CORD (Park et al., 2019), for information extraction from receipts. Graliński et al. (2020) elicit progress on deeper and more complex Key Information Extraction by introducing the Kleister datasets, a collection of business documents with varying lengths, released as PDF files. However, the documents in Kleister often contain single-column layouts, which are simpler than the various multi-column layouts considered in LoRaLay. Document VQA is another popular document understanding task that requires processing multimodal information (e.g., text, layout, font style, images) conveyed by a document to be able to answer questions about a

visually rich document (e.g., What is the date given at the top left of the form?, Whose picture is given in this figure?). The DocVQA dataset (Mathew et al., 2021) and InfographicsVQA (Mathew et al., 2022) are commonly-used VQA datasets that respectively provide industry documents and infographic images, encouraging research on understanding documents with complex interplay of text, layout and graphical elements. Finally, to foster research on visually-rich document understanding, Borchmann et al. (2021) introduce the Document Understanding Evaluation (DUE) benchmark, a unified benchmark for end-to-end document understanding, created by combining several datasets. DUE includes several available and transformed datasets for VQA, Key Information Extraction and Machine Reading Comprehension tasks.

2.2 Existing Summarization Datasets

Several large-scale summarization datasets have been proposed to boost research on text summarization systems. Hermann et al. (2015) proposed the CNN/DailyMail dataset, a collection of English articles extracted from the CNN and The Daily Mail portals. Each news article is associated with multi-sentence highlights which serve as reference summaries. Scialom et al. (2020) bridge the gap between English and non-English resources for text summarization by introducing MLSum, a largescale multilingual summarization corpus providing news articles written in French, German, Spanish, Turkish and Russian. Going toward more challenging scenarios involving significantly longer documents, the arXiv and PubMed datasets (Cohan et al., 2018) consist of scientific articles collected from academic repositories, wherein the paper abstracts are used as summaries. To encourage a shift towards building more abstractive summarization models with global content understanding, Sharma et al. (2019) introduce BIGPATENT, a large-scale dataset made of U.S. patent filings. Here, invention descriptions serve as reference summaries.

The vast majority of summarization datasets only deal with plain text documents. As opposed to other Document Understanding tasks (e.g., form understanding, visual QA) in which the placement of text on the page and/or visual components are the main source of information needed to find the desired data (Borchmann et al., 2021), text plays a predominant role in document summarization. However, guidelines for summarizing texts – espe-

I https://hf.co/datasets/nglaura/arxivlay-summarization, https://hf.co/datasets/nglaura/pubmedlay-summarization, https://hf.co/datasets/nglaura/hal-summarization, https://hf.co/datasets/nglaura/scielo-summarization, https://hf.co/datasets/nglaura/koreascience-summarization

cially long ones – often recommend roughly previewing them to break them down into their major sections (Toprak and Almacioğlu, 2009; Luo et al., 2019). This suggests that NLP systems might leverage multimodal information in documents. Miculicich and Han (2022) propose a two-stage method which detects text segments and incorporates this information in an extractive summarization model. Cao and Wang (2022) collect a new dataset for long and structure-aware document summarization, consisting of 21k documents written in English and extracted from WikiProject Biography.

Although not all documents are explicitly organized into clearly defined sections, the great majority contains layout and visual clues (e.g., a physical organization into paragraphs, bigger headings/subheadings) which help structure their textual contents and facilitate reading. Thus, we argue that layout is crucial to summarize long documents. We propose a corpus of more than 345K long documents with layout information. Furthermore, to address the need for multilingual training data (Chi et al., 2020), we include not only English documents, but also French, Spanish, Portuguese and Korean ones.

3 Datasets Construction

Inspired by the way the arXiv and PubMed datasets were built (Cohan et al., 2018), we construct our corpus from research papers, with abstracts as ground-truth summaries. As the PDF format allows simultaneous access to textual, visual and layout information, we collect PDF files to construct our datasets, and provide their URLs.²

For each language, we select a repository that contains a high number of academic articles (in the order of hundreds of thousands) and provides easy access to abstracts. More precisely, we chose the following repositories:

- Archives Ouverte HAL (French),³ an open archive of scholarly documents from all academic fields. As HAL is primarily directed towards French academics, a great proportion of articles are written in French;
- SciELO (Spanish and Portuguese),⁴ an open access database of academic articles published in journal collections from Latin America,

Iberian Peninsula and South Africa, and covering a broad range of topics (e.g. agricultural sciences, engineering, health sciences, letters and arts). Languages include English, Spanish, and Portuguese.

• KoreaScience (Korean),⁵ an open archive of Korean scholarly publications in the fields of natural sciences, life sciences, engineering, and humanities and social sciences. Articles are written in English or Korean.

Further, we provide enhanced versions of the arXiv and PubMed datasets, respectively denoted as arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay, for which layout information is provided.

3.1 Collecting the Data

Extended Datasets The arXiv and PubMed datasets (Cohan et al., 2018) contain long scientific research papers extracted from the arXiv and PubMed repositories. We augment them by providing their PDFs, allowing access to layout and visual information. As the abstracts contained in the original datasets are all lowercased, we do not reuse them, but rather extract the raw abstracts using the corresponding APIs.

Note that we were unable to retrieve all the original documents. For the most part, we failed to retrieve the corresponding abstracts, as they did not necessarily match the ones contained in the PDF files (due to *e.g.* PDF-parsing errors). We also found that some PDF files were unavailable, while others were corrupted or scanned documents.⁶ In total, about 39% (35%) of the original documents in arXiv (PubMed) were lost.

arXiv-Lay The original arXiv dataset (Cohan et al., 2018) was constructed by converting the LAT_EX files to plain text. To be consistent with the other datasets – for which LAT_EX files are not available – we instead use the PDF files to extract both text and layout elements. For each document contained in the original dataset, we fetch (when possible) the corresponding PDF file using Google Cloud Storage buckets. As opposed to the original procedure, we do not remove tables nor discard sections that follow the conclusion. We retrieve the corresponding abstracts from a metadata file provided by Kaggle.⁷

 $^{^2}We$ make the corpus-construction code publicly available at $\tt https://github.com/recitalAI/loralay-datasets.$

³https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/

⁴https://www.scielo.org/

⁵http://www.koreascience.or.kr

⁶For more details on this, see Section A.1 in the Appendix.

⁷https://www.kaggle.com/Cornell-University/arxiv

PubMed-Lay For PubMed, we use the PMC OAI Service⁸ to retrieve abstracts and PDF files.

HAL We use the HAL API⁹ to download research papers written in French. To avoid excessively long (e.g. theses) or short (e.g. posters) documents, extraction is restricted to journal and conference papers.

SciELO Using Scrapy,¹⁰ we crawl the following SciELO collections: Ecuador, Colombia, Paraguay, Uruguay, Bolivia, Peru, Portugal, Spain and Brazil. We download documents written either in Spanish or Portuguese, according to the metadata, obtaining two distinct datasets: SciELO-ES (Spanish) and SciELO-PT (Portuguese).

KoreaScience Similarly, we scrape the Korea-Science website to extract research papers. We limit search results to documents whose publishers' names contain the word *Korean*. This rule was designed after sampling documents in the repository, and is the simplest way to get a good proportion of papers written in Korean.¹¹ Further, search is restricted to papers published between 2012 and 2021, as recent publications are more likely to have digital-born, searchable PDFs. Finally, we download the PDF files of documents that contain an abstract written in Korean.

3.2 Data Pre-processing

For each corpus, we use the 95th percentile of the page distribution as an upper bound to filter out documents with too many pages, while the 5th (1st for HAL and SciELO) percentile of the summary length distribution is used as a minimum threshold to remove documents whose abstracts are too short. As our baselines do not consider visual information, we only extract text and layout from the PDF files. Layout is incorporated by providing the spatial position of each word in a document page image, represented by its bounding box (x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1) , where (x_0, y_0) and (x_1, y_1) respectively denote the coordinates of the top-left and bottom-right corners. Using the PDF rendering library Poppler¹², text and word bounding boxes are extracted from each PDF, and the sequence order is recovered based on heuristics around the document layout (e.g., tables, columns). Abstracts are then

removed by searching for exact matches; when no exact match is found, we use fuzzysearch¹³ and regex¹⁴ to find near matches.¹⁵ For the non-English datasets, documents might contain several abstracts, written in different languages. To avoid information leakage, we retrieve the abstract of each document in every language available – according to the API for HAL or the websites for SciELO and KoreaScience – and remove them using the same strategy as for the main language. In the case an abstract cannot be found, we discard the document to prevent any unforeseen leakage. The dataset construction process is illustrated in Section A in the Appendix.

3.3 Datasets Statistics

The statistics of our proposed datasets, along with those computed on existing summarization datasets of long documents (Cohan et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019) are reported in Table 1. We see that document lengths are comparable or greater than for the arXiv, PubMed and BigPatent datasets.

For arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay, we retain the original train/validation/splits and try to reconstruct them as faithfully to the originals as possible. For the new datasets, we order documents based on their publication dates and provide splits following a chronological ordering. For HAL and Korea-Science, we retain 3% of the articles as validation data, 3% as test, and the remaining as training data. To match the number of validation/test documents in HAL and KoreaScience, we split the data into 90% for training, 5% for validation and 5% for test, for both SciELO datasets.

4 Experiments

4.1 Models

For reproducibility purposes, we make the models implementation, along with the fine-tuning and evaluation scripts, publicly available.¹⁶

We do not explore the use of visual information in long document summarization, as the focus is on evaluating baseline performance using state-of-theart summarization models augmented with layout information. While visual features might provide a better understanding of structures such as tables and figures, we do not expect substantial gains with

⁸https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/tools/oai/

⁹ https://api.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/search 10 https://scrapy.org/

¹¹For further details, see Section A.2 in the Appendix.

¹²https://poppler.freedesktop.org/

¹³ https://pypi.org/project/fuzzysearch/

¹⁴ https://pypi.org/project/regex/

¹⁵We use a maximum Levenshtein distance of 20 with fuzzysearch, and a maximum number of errors of 3 with regex.

¹⁶https://github.com/recitalAI/loralay-modeling

Dataset	# Docs	Mean Article Length	Mean Summary Length
arXiv (Cohan et al., 2018)	215,913	3,016	203
PubMed (Cohan et al., 2018)	133,215	4,938	220
BigPatent (Sharma et al., 2019)	1,341,362	3,572	117
arXiv-Lay	130,919	7,084	125
PubMed-Lay	86,668	4,038	144
HAL	46,148	4,543	134
SciELO-ES	23,170	4,977	172
SciELO-PT	21,563	6,853	162
KoreaScience	37,498	3,192	95

Table 1: Datasets statistics. Article and summary lengths are computed in words. For KoreaScience, words are obtained via white-space tokenization. Difference between arXiv and arXiv-Lay is due to the fact that we retain the whole document, while Cohan et al. (2018) truncate it after the conclusion.

respect to layout-aware models. Indeed, the information provided in figures (i.e., information that cannot be captured by layout or text) are commonly described in the caption or related paragraphs.

Text-only models with standard input size We use Pegasus (Zhang et al., 2020) as a text-only baseline for arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay. Pegasus is an encoder-decoder model pre-trained using gapsentences generation, making it a state-of-the-art model for abstractive summarization. For the non-English datasets, we rely on a finetuned MBART as our baseline. MBART (Liu et al., 2020) is a multilingual sequence-to-sequence model pretrained on large-scale monolingual corpora in many languages using the BART objective (Lewis et al., 2019). We use its extension, MBART-50 (Tang et al., 2020),¹⁷ which is created from the original MBART by extending its embeddings layers and pre-training it on a total of 50 languages. Both Pegasus and MBART are limited to a maximum sequence length of 1,024 tokens, which is well below the median length of each dataset.

Layout-aware models with standard input size We introduce layout-aware extensions of Pegasus and MBART, respectively denoted as Pegasus+Layout and MBART+Layout. Following LayoutLM (Xu et al., 2020), which is state-ofthe-art on several document understanding tasks (Jaume et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Harley et al., 2015), each token bounding box coordinates (x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1) is normalized into an integer in the range [0, 1000]. Spatial positions are encoded using four embedding tables, namely two for the coordinate axes (x and y), and the other two for the bounding box size (width and height). The layout representation of a token is formed by summing the resulting embedding representations The final representation of a token is then obtained through point-wise summation of its textual, 1D-positional and layout embeddings.

Long-range, text-only models To process longer sequences, we leverage BigBird (Zaheer et al., 2020), a sparse-attention based Transformer which reduces the quadratic dependency to a linear one. For arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay, we initialize BigBird from Pegasus (Zaheer et al., 2020) and for the non-English datasets, we use the weights of MBART. The resulting models are referred to as BigBird-Pegasus and BigBird-MBART. For both models, BigBird sparse attention is used only in the encoder. Both models can handle up to 4,096 inputs tokens, which is greater than the median length in PubMed-Lay, HAL and KoreaScience.

Long-range, layout-aware models We also include layout information in long-range text-only models. Similarly to layout-aware models with standard input size, we integrate layout information into our long-range models by encoding each token's spatial position in the page. The resulting models are denoted as BigBird-Pegasus+Layout and BigBird-MBART+Layout.

Additional State-of-the-Art Baselines We further consider additional state-of-the-art baselines for summarization: i) the text-only T5 (Raffel et al., 2019) with standard input size, ii) the long-range Longformer-Encoder-Decoder (LED) (Beltagy et al., 2020), and iii) the layout-aware, long-range LED+Layout, which we implement similarly to the previous layout-aware models.

4.2 Implementation Details

We initialize our Pegasus-based and MBART-based models with, respectively, the google/pegasus-large and facebook/mbart-large-50 checkpoints shared through the Hugging Face Model Hub. As for T5 and LED, we use the weights from t5-base and allenai/led-base-16384, respectively.¹⁸

Following Zhang et al. (2020) and Zaheer et al. (2020), we fine-tune our models up to 74k (100k) steps on arXiv-Lay (PubMed-Lay). On HAL, the total number of steps is set to 100k, while it is de-

¹⁷For the sake of clarity, we refer to MBART-50 as MBART.

¹⁸The large versions of T5 and LED did not fit into GPU due to their size.

Dataset	Iı	Instances			Length	Output	Output Length	
Dataset	Train	Dev	Test	Median	90%-ile	Median	90%-ile	
arXiv (Cohan et al., 2018)	203,037	6,436	6,440	6,151	14,405	171	352	
PubMed (Cohan et al., 2018)	119,924	6,633	6,658	2,715	6,101	212	318	
arXiv-Lay	122,189	4,374	4,356	6,225	12,541	150	249	
PubMed-Lay	78,234	4,084	4,350	3,761	7,109	182	296	
HAL	43,379	1,384	1,385	4,074	8,761	179	351	
SciELO-ES	20,853	1,158	1,159	4,859	8,519	226	382	
SciELO-PT	19,407	1,078	1,078	6,090	9,655	239	374	
KoreaScience	35,248	1,125	1,125	2,916	5,094	219	340	

Table 2: Datasets splits and statistics. Input and output lengths are computed in tokens, obtained using Pegasus and MBART-50's tokenizers for the English and non-English datasets, respectively.

creased to 50k for the other non-English datasets.¹⁹ For each model, we select the checkpoint with the best validation loss. For Pegasus and MBART models, inputs are truncated at 1,024 tokens. For BigBird-Pegasus models, we follow Zaheer et al. (2020) and set the maximum input length at 3,072 tokens. As the median input length is much greater in almost every non-English dataset, we increase the maximum input length to 4,096 tokens for BigBird-MBART models. Output length is restricted to 256 tokens for all models, which is enough to fully capture at least 50% of the summaries in each dataset.

For evaluation, we use beam search and report a single run for each model and dataset. Following Zhang et al. (2020); Zaheer et al. (2020), we set the number of beams to 8 for Pegasus-based models, and 5 for BigBird-Pegasus-based models. For the non-English datasets, we set it to 5 for all models, for fair comparison. For all experiments, we use a length penalty of 0.8. For more implementation details, see Section B.1 in the Appendix.

5 Results and Discussion

5.1 General Results

In Table 3, we report the ROUGE-L scores obtained on arXiv and PubMed datasets (reported by Zaheer et al. (2020)), as well as on the corresponding layout-augmented counterparts we release. ²⁰ On arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay, we observe that, while the addition of layout to Pegasus does not improve the ROUGE-L scores, there are gains in integrating layout information into BigBird-Pegasus. To assess whether these gains are significant, we perform significance analysis at the 0.05 level using bootstrap, and estimate a ROUGE-L threshold that predicts when improvements are significant. ROUGE-L improvements between each pair of models are reported in Table 11 in the appendix. On arXiv-Lay, we compute a threshold of 1.48 ROUGE-L, showing that BigBird-Pegasus+Layout significantly outperforms all Pegasus-based models. In particular, we find a 1.56 ROUGE-L improvement between BigBird-Pegasus and its layoutaugmented counterpart, demonstrating that the addition of layout to long-range modeling significantly improves summarization. On PubMed-Lay, we compute a threshold of 1.77. Hence, the 0.96 ROUGE-L improvement from BigBird-Pegasus to its layout-augmented counterpart is not significant. However, the variance in font sizes in PubMed-Lay is much smaller compared to arXiv-Lay (see Table 12 in the appendix), reflecting an overall more simplistic layout. Therefore, we argue that layout integration has a lesser impact in PubMed-Lay, which can explain the non-significance of results. In addition, we find that BigBird-Pegasus significantly outperforms Pegasus and Pegasus+Layout only when augmented with layout, with an improvement of, respectively, 2.3 and 2.2 points. This demonstrates the importance of combining layout and long-range modeling.

While T5 and LED obtain competitive results, we find that the gain in adding layout to LED is minor. However, the models we consider have all been pre-trained only on plain text. As a result, the layout representations are learnt from scratch during fine-tuning. Similarly to us, Borchmann et al. (2021) show that their layout-augmented T5 does not necessarily improve the scores, and that performance is significantly enhanced only when the model has been pre-trained on layout-rich data.

Further, we observe, for both Pegasus and BigBird-Pegasus, a drop in performance w.r.t. the scores obtained on the original datasets. This can be explained by two factors. First, our extended

 $^{^{19}}$ We tested different values for the number of steps (10k, 25k, 50k, 100k) and chose the one that gave the best validation scores for MBART.

²⁰For detailed results, please refer to Section C.1 in the Appendix.

Model	# Params	arXiv/ arXiv-Lay	PubMed/ PubMed-Lay
Pegasus (Zhang et al., 2020)	568M	38.83	41.34
BigBird-Pegasus (Zaheer et al., 2020)	576M	41.77	42.33
T5 (Raffel et al., 2019)	223M	37.90	39.23
LED (Beltagy et al., 2020)	161M	40.74	41.54
LED+Layout	165M	40.96	41.83
Pegasus	568M	39.07	39.75
Pegasus+Layout	572M	39.25	39.85
BigBird-Pegasus	576M	39.59	41.09
BigBird-Pegasus+Layout	581M	41.15	42.05

Table 3: ROUGE-L scores on arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay. Reported results obtained by Pegasus and BigBird-Pegasus on the original arXiv and PubMed are reported with a gray background. The best results obtained on arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay are denoted in bold.

Model	# Params	HAL (fr)	SciELO-ES (es)	SciELO-PT (pt)	KoreaScience (ko)
MBART	610M	42.00	36.55	36.42	16.94
MBART+Layout	615M	41.67	37.47	34.37	14.98
BigBird-MBART	617M	45.04	37.76	39.63	18.55
BigBird-MBART+Layout	621M	45.20	40.71	40.51	19.95

Table 4: ROUGE-L scores on the non-English datasets. The best results for each dataset are reported in bold.

Dataset	Train	Validation	Test
HAL (fr)	90.72	90.54	85.84
SciELO-ES (es)	84.86	84.28	84.90
SciELO-PT (pt)	90.95	90.58	91.96
KoreaScience (ko)	73.53	70.26	68.78

Table 5: Percent confidence obtained for the main language, for each dataset split.

datasets contain less training data due to the inability to process all original documents. Secondly, the settings are different: while the original arXiv and PubMed datasets contain clear discourse information (e.g., each section is delimited by markers) obtained from LATEX files, documents in our extended versions are built by parsing raw PDF files. Therefore, the task is more challenging for text-only baselines, as they have no access to the discourse structure of documents, which further underlines the importance of taking the structural information, brought by visual cues, into account.

Table 4 presents the ROUGE-L scores reported on the non-English datasets. On HAL, we note that BigBird-MBART does not benefit from layout. After investigation, we hypothesize that this is due to the larger presence of single-column and simple layouts, which makes layout integration less needed. On both SciELO datasets, we notice that combining layout with long-range modeling brings substantial improvements over MBART. Further, we find that the plain-text BigBird models do not improve over the layout-aware Pegasus and MBART on arXiv-Lay and SciELO-ES, demonstrating that simply capturing more context does not always suffice. Regarding performance on KoreaScience, we can see a significant drop in performance for every model w.r.t the other non-English datasets. At first glance, we notice a high amount of English segments (e.g., tables, figure captions, scientific concepts) in documents in KoreaScience. To investigate this, we use the cld2 library²¹ to detect the language in each non-English document. We consider the percent confidence of the top-1 matching language as an indicator of the presence of the main language (i.e., French, Spanish, Portuguese or Korean) in a document, and average the results to obtain a score for the whole dataset. Table 5 reports the average percent confidence obtained on each split, for each dataset. We find that the percentage of text written in the main language in KoreaScience (i.e., Korean) is smaller than in other datasets. As the MBART-based models expect only one language in a document (the information is encoded using a special token), we claim the strong presence of non-Korean segments in KoreaScience causes them to suffer from interference problems. Therefore, we highlight that KoreaScience is a more challenging dataset, and

²¹https://github.com/GregBowyer/cld2-cffi



Figure 1: Benefit of using layout on arXiv-Lay (blue) and PubMed-Lay (red), defined as the difference in ROUGE-L scores between BigBird-Pegasus+Layout and BigBird-Pegasus. For each dataset, quartiles are calculated from the distributions of article lengths (a), summary lengths (b) and variance in the height of the bounding boxes (c). ROUGE-L scores are then computed per quartile range, and averaged over each range.

we hope our work will boost research on better long-range, multimodal *and* multilingual models.

Overall, results show a clear benefit of integrating layout information for long document summarization.

5.2 Human Evaluation

Metric	BigBird	BigBird+Layout
Precision %	35.15 (0.81)	37.51 (0.70)
Recall %	28.07 (0.73)	33.59 (0.86)
Coherence	3.80 (0.38)	3.75 (0.62)
Fluency	4.48 (0.03)	4.34 (0.16)
Overlap %	8.77 (0.24)	7.49 (0.36)
Flow %	30.75 (0.68)	33.02 (0.71)

Table 6: Average human judgement scores obtained by comparing gold-truth abstracts and summaries generated by BigBird and BigBird+Layout from 50 documents sampled from arXiv-Lay and HAL. Inter-rater agreement is computed using Krippendorff's alpha coefficient, and enclosed between parentheses.

To gain more insight into the effect of document layout for summarizing long textual content, we conduct a human evaluation of summaries generated by BigBird-Pegasus/BigBird-MBART and their layout-aware counterparts. We choose the BigBird-based models over the LED ones, as the gain in augmenting BigBird with layout is much more apparent. We evenly sample 50 documents from arXiv-Lay and HAL test sets, filtering documents by their topics (computer science) to match the judgment capabilities of the three human annotators. We design an evaluation interface (see Section C.2 in the appendix). For each sentence s_i in the generated summary, we ask the annotators to highlight the relevant tokens in s_i , along with the equivalent parts in the ground-truth abstract (denoted h_i). Further, we ask them to rate the summary in terms of coherence and fluency, on a scale of 0 to 5, following the DUC quality guidelines (Dang, 2005). Finally, annotators are asked to penalize summaries with hallucinated facts. The highlighting process allows us to compute precision and recall as the percentage of highlighted information in the generated summary and the ground-truth abstract, respectively. Moreover, we can compute an overlap ratio as the percentage of highlighted information that appears several times in the generated summary. Lastly, we calculate a flow percentage that evaluates how well the order of the groundtruth information is preserved by computing the percentage of times where the highlighted text h_i in the gold summary for one generated sentence s_i follows the highlighted text h_{i-1} for the previous sentence s_{i-1} (i.e. where any token from h_i occurs after a token in h_{i-1}). Table 6 reports the scores for each metric and model, averaged over all 50 documents, along with inter-rater agreements, computed using Krippendorff's alpha coefficient. We find that adding layout to the models significantly improves precision and recall, results in less overlap (repetition), and is more in line with the ground truth order. Further, annotators did not encounter any hallucinated fact in the 50 generated summaries. To conclude, reported results show that human annotators strongly agree that adding layout generates better summaries, further validating our claim that layout provides vital information for summarization tasks.

5.3 Case Studies

To have a better understanding of the previous results, we focus on uncovering the cases in which layout is most helpful. To this end, we identify features that relate to the necessity of having layout: 1) article length, as longer texts are intuitively easier to understand with layout, 2) summary length, as longer summaries are likely to cover more salient information, and 3) variance in font sizes (using the height of the bounding boxes), and, as such, the complexity of the layout. The benefit of using layout is measured as the difference in ROUGE-L scores between BigBird-Pegasus+Layout and its purely textual counterpart, on arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay. We compute quartiles from the distributions of article lengths, ground-truth summary lengths, and variance in the height of bounding boxes.²² Based on the aforementioned factors, the scores obtained by each model are then grouped by quartile range, and averaged over each range, see Figure 1. On arXiv-Lay, we find that layout brings most improvement when dealing with the 25% longest documents and summaries, while, for both datasets, layout is least beneficial for the shortest documents and summaries. These results corroborate our claim that layout can bring important information about long-range context. Concerning the third factor, we see, on PubMed-Lay, that layout is most helpful for documents that have the widest ranges of font sizes, showcasing the advantage of using layout to capture salient information.

6 Limitations and Risks

The proposed corpus is limited to a single domain, that of scientific literature. Such limitation arguably extends also to the layout diversity of documents. In terms of risks, we acknowledge the presence of Personally Identifiable Information such as author names and affiliations; nonetheless, such information is already voluntarily made public by the authors themselves.

7 Conclusion

We have presented LoRaLay, a set of large-scale datasets for long-range and layout-aware text summarization. LoRaLay provides the research community with 4 novel multimodal corpora covering French, Spanish, Portuguese, and Korean languages, built from scientific articles. Furthermore, it includes additional layout and visual information for existing long-range summarization datasets (arXiv and PubMed). We provide adapted architectures merging layout-aware and long-range models, and show the importance of layout information in capturing long-range dependencies.

8 Acknowledgements

We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments. This work is supported by the Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie (ANRT) under CIFRE grant N2020/0916. It was partially performed using HPC resources from GENCI-IDRIS (Grant 2021-AD011011841).

References

- Srikar Appalaraju, Bhavan Jasani, Bhargava Urala Kota, Yusheng Xie, and R Manmatha. 2021. Docformer: End-to-end transformer for document understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.11539.
- Iz Beltagy, Matthew E Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05150*.
- Łukasz Borchmann, Michał Pietruszka, Tomasz Stanislawek, Dawid Jurkiewicz, Michał Turski, Karolina Szyndler, and Filip Graliński. 2021. Due: End-toend document understanding benchmark. In Thirtyfifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track (Round 2).
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Shuyang Cao and Lu Wang. 2022. Hibrids: Attention with hierarchical biases for structure-aware long document summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.10741*.
- Zewen Chi, Li Dong, Furu Wei, Wenhui Wang, Xian-Ling Mao, and Heyan Huang. 2020. Cross-lingual natural language generation via pre-training. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, volume 34, pages 7570–7577.
- Arman Cohan, Franck Dernoncourt, Doo Soon Kim, Trung Bui, Seokhwan Kim, Walter Chang, and Nazli Goharian. 2018. A discourse-aware attention model for abstractive summarization of long documents. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.05685.
- Hoa Trang Dang. 2005. Overview of duc 2005. In *Proceedings of the document understanding conference*, volume 2005, pages 1–12.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.

²²The quartiles are provided in Appendix C.3.

- Filip Graliński, Tomasz Stanisławek, Anna Wróblewska, Dawid Lipiński, Agnieszka Kaliska, Paulina Rosalska, Bartosz Topolski, and Przemysław Biecek. 2020. Kleister: A novel task for information extraction involving long documents with complex layout. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.02356*.
- Adam W Harley, Alex Ufkes, and Konstantinos G Derpanis. 2015. Evaluation of deep convolutional nets for document image classification and retrieval. In 2015 13th International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), pages 991–995. IEEE.
- Karl Moritz Hermann, Tomas Kocisky, Edward Grefenstette, Lasse Espeholt, Will Kay, Mustafa Suleyman, and Phil Blunsom. 2015. Teaching machines to read and comprehend. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28.
- Zheng Huang, Kai Chen, Jianhua He, Xiang Bai, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Shijian Lu, and CV Jawahar. 2019. Icdar2019 competition on scanned receipt ocr and information extraction. In 2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), pages 1516–1520. IEEE.
- Guillaume Jaume, Hazim Kemal Ekenel, and Jean-Philippe Thiran. 2019. Funsd: A dataset for form understanding in noisy scanned documents. In 2019 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition Workshops (ICDARW), volume 2, pages 1–6. IEEE.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Ves Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2019. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461.
- Yinhan Liu, Jiatao Gu, Naman Goyal, Xian Li, Sergey Edunov, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Mike Lewis, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. Multilingual denoising pre-training for neural machine translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:726–742.
- Ling Luo, Xiang Ao, Yan Song, Feiyang Pan, Min Yang, and Qing He. 2019. Reading like her: Human reading inspired extractive summarization. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3033–3043.
- Minesh Mathew, Viraj Bagal, Rubèn Tito, Dimosthenis Karatzas, Ernest Valveny, and CV Jawahar. 2022. Infographicvqa. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, pages 1697–1706.

- Minesh Mathew, Dimosthenis Karatzas, and CV Jawahar. 2021. Docvqa: A dataset for vqa on document images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 2200–2209.
- Lesly Miculicich and Benjamin Han. 2022. Document summarization with text segmentation.
- Seunghyun Park, Seung Shin, Bado Lee, Junyeop Lee, Jaeheung Surh, Minjoon Seo, and Hwalsuk Lee. 2019. Cord: a consolidated receipt dataset for postocr parsing. In *Workshop on Document Intelligence at NeurIPS 2019*.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Soumith Chintala, Gregory Chanan, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Zeming Lin, Alban Desmaison, Luca Antiga, and Adam Lerer. 2017. Automatic differentiation in pytorch.
- Rafał Powalski, Łukasz Borchmann, Dawid Jurkiewicz, Tomasz Dwojak, Michał Pietruszka, and Gabriela Pałka. 2021. Going full-tilt boogie on document understanding with text-image-layout transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.09550*.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2019. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.10683*.
- Thomas Scialom, Paul-Alexis Dray, Sylvain Lamprier, Benjamin Piwowarski, and Jacopo Staiano. 2020. Mlsum: The multilingual summarization corpus. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14900.*
- Eva Sharma, Chen Li, and Lu Wang. 2019. Bigpatent: A large-scale dataset for abstractive and coherent summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.03741*.
- Noam Shazeer and Mitchell Stern. 2018. Adafactor: Adaptive learning rates with sublinear memory cost. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 4596–4604. PMLR.
- Yuqing Tang, Chau Tran, Xian Li, Peng-Jen Chen, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Jiatao Gu, and Angela Fan. 2020. Multilingual translation with extensible multilingual pretraining and finetuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.00401*.
- Elif Toprak and Gamze Almacioğlu. 2009. Three reading phases and their applications in the teaching of english as a foreign language in reading classes with young learners. *Journal of language and Linguistic Studies*, 5(1).
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. 2019. Huggingface's transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1910.03771.

- Yang Xu, Yiheng Xu, Tengchao Lv, Lei Cui, Furu Wei, Guoxin Wang, Yijuan Lu, Dinei Florencio, Cha Zhang, Wanxiang Che, Min Zhang, and Lidong Zhou. 2021. LayoutLMv2: Multi-modal pretraining for visually-rich document understanding. In Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 2579–2591, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yiheng Xu, Minghao Li, Lei Cui, Shaohan Huang, Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. 2020. Layoutlm: Pretraining of text and layout for document image understanding. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 1192–1200.
- Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Kumar Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontanon, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, et al. 2020. Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:17283–17297.
- Jingqing Zhang, Yao Zhao, Mohammad Saleh, and Peter Liu. 2020. Pegasus: Pre-training with extracted gap-sentences for abstractive summarization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 11328–11339. PMLR.

LoRaLay: A Multilingual and Multimodal Dataset for *Long Range* and *Layout-Aware* Summarization – Appendix

A Datasets Construction



Figure 2: Dataset Construction Process.

A.1 Extended Datasets – Lost Documents

Figure 3 provides details on the amount of original documents lost in the process of augmenting arXiv and PubMed with layout/visual information. We observe four types of failures, and provide numbers for each type:

- The link to the document's PDF file is not provided (*Unavailable PDF*);
- The PDF file is corrupted (i.e., cannot be opened) (*Corrupted PDF*);
- The document is not digital-born, making it impossible to parse it with PDF parsing tools (*Scanned PDF*);
- The document's abstract cannot be found in the PDF (*Irretrievable Abstract*).



Figure 3: Distribution of failure types in arXiv-Lay (top) and PubMed-Lay (bottom).

A.2 KoreaScience – Extraction Rule

Korean documents in KoreaScience are extracted by restricting search results to documents containing the word "Korean" in the publisher's name. We show that this rule does not bias the sample towards a specific research area. We compute the distribution of topics covered by all publishers, and compare it to the distribution of topics covered by publishers whose name contains the word *Korean*. Figure 4 shows that the distribution obtained using our rule remains roughly the same as the original.



Figure 4: Distribution of topics covered by all publishers (red) vs distribution of topics covered by publishers whose name contains the word *Korean* (blue).

A.3 Samples

We provide samples of documents from each dataset in Figure 5.

A.4 Datasets Statistics

C Results

Detailed Results

C.1

The distribution of research areas in arXiv-Lay and HAL are provided in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. Such distributions are not available for the other datasets, as we did not have access to topic information during extraction.



Model	R-1	R-2	R-L
MBART	47.05	22.23	42.00
MBART+Layout	46.65	21.96	41.67
BigBird-MBART	49.85	25.71	45.04
BigBird-MBART+Layout	49.99	25.20	45.20

Figure 6: Distribution of research areas in arXiv-Lay.

Table 8: ROUGE scores on HAL. Best results are reported in bold.



Model	R-1	R-2	R-L
MBART	17.33	7.70	16.94
MBART+Layout	15.43	6.69	14.98
BigBird-MBART	18.96	8.01	18.55
BigBird-MBART+Layout	20.36	9.49	19.95

Figure 7: Distribution of research areas in HAL.

B Experiments

B.1 Implementation Details

Models were implemented in Python using Py-Torch (Paszke et al., 2017) and Hugging Face (Wolf et al., 2019) librairies. In all experiments, we use Adafactor (Shazeer and Stern, 2018), a stochastic optimization method based on Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014) that reduces memory usage while retaining the empirical benefits of adaptivity. We set a learning rate warmup over the first 10% steps – except on arXiv-Lay where it is set to 10k consistently with Zaheer et al. (2020), and use a square root decay of the learning rate. All our experiments have been run on four Nvidia V100 with 32GB each.

Table 10: ROUGE scores on KoreaScience. The best results are reported in bold.

C.2 Human Evaluation

Using the Streamlit²³ framework, we design and develop an interface to aid human evaluation of summarization models.²⁴

²³https://streamlit.io/

²⁴The code is publicly available at https://anonymous.4open.science/r/loralay-eval-interface-C20D.

Model	arXi	arXiv / arXiv-Lay				PubMed / PubMed-Lay		
	R-1	R-2	R-L	R	-1	R-2	R-L	
Pegasus (Zhang et al., 2020)	44.21	16.95	38.83	45	5.97	20.15	41.34	
BigBird-Pegasus (Zaheer et al., 2020)	46.63	19.02	41.77	46	5.32	20.65	42.33	
T5 (Raffel et al., 2019)	42.79	15.98	37.90	42	2.88	17.58	39.23	
LED (Beltagy et al., 2020)	45.41	18.14	40.74	45	5.28	19.86	41.54	
LED+Layout	45.51	18.55	40.96	45	5.41	19.74	41.83	
MBART	37.64	13.29	33.49	41	.19	16.04	37.47	
Pegasus	43.81	17.27	39.07	43	3.52	17.96	39.75	
Pegasus+Layout	44.10	17.01	39.25	43	3.59	18.24	39.85	
BigBird-Pegasus	44.43	17.74	39.59	44	1.80	19.32	41.09	
BigBird-Pegasus+Layout	46.02	18.95	41.15	45	5.69	20.38	42.05	

Table 7: ROUGE scores on arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay. Reported results obtained by Pegasus and BigBird-Pegasus on the original arXiv and PubMed are reported with a gray background. The best results obtained on arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay are denoted in bold.

	S	ciELO-F	S	S	ciELO-P	Т
Model	R-1	R-2	R-L	R-1	R-2	R-L
MBART	41.04	15.65	36.55	41.18	15.53	36.42
MBART+Layout	42.27	15.73	37.47	39.45	14.17	34.37
BigBird-MBART	42.64	16.60	37.76	44.85	18.70	39.63
BigBird-MBART+Layout	45.64	19.33	40.71	45.47	20.40	40.51

Table 9: ROUGE scores on the SciELO datasets. The best results are reported in bold.

LoRaLay Evaluation Interface

Evaluation guidelines ~ Document 0806.3537 (1/50) Statistical Learning of Arbitrary Computable Classifiers Link to full document Model A Model B Ground-truth abstract Statistical learning theory chiefly studies restricted hypothesis classes, particularly those with finite Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension. The fundamental quantity of interest is the sample complexity, the number of samples required to learn to a specified level of accuracy, here we consider learning over the set of all computable leaking interfaces. Since the VC-dimension is infinite and a priori (uniform) bounds on the number of samples are impossible, we let the learning in tisserting is indeed possible, and develop a learning algorithm. We first show that learning in tisserting is indeed possible, and develop a learning algorithm. We then show, however, that bounding sample complexity independently of the distribution is impossible. Notably, this immossibility is entrieved use to the environment and the commutable and the this impossibility is entirely due to the requirement that the **learning** algorithm be computable, and not due to the **statistical** nature of the problem. You selected the following sentence generated by Model B. Highlight the parts in the sentence that can be found in the ground-truth abstract. Conventional statistical learning theory attempts to bound the number of samples needed to learn to a specified level of accuracy for each of the above models (e.g. neural networks, support vector machines). Next sentence Summary generated by Model B Sentence Precision (%) Conventional statistical learning theory attempts to bound the number of samples needed to learn to a specified level of accuracy for each of the above models (e.g. neural networks, support vector machines). 40.62 However, if we allow ourselves to change the model, then the VC-dimension of the overall learning algorithm is not finite, and much of statistical learning theory does not directly apply. 16.67 In contrast, we prove that distribution-independent bounds do not exist 72.22 altogether for computable learning algorithms in our setting. Our results imply that computable learning algorithms in the universal 0.0 setting must "waste samples" in the sense of requiring more samples than is necessary for statistical reasons alone. Pecall (9 30.15 Coherence 0 0 1 0 2 0 3 0 4 0 5 Fluency 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 3 0 4 0 5

C.3 Analysis of the Impact of Layout

Table 12 lists the quartiles computed from the distributions of article lengths, summary lengths, and variation in the height of bounding boxes, for arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay.

Figure 8: LoRaLay evaluation interface.

Next

Lam unable to evaluate this document.

	LED	LED+Layout	Pegasus	Pegasus+Layout	BigBird-Pegasus	BigBird-Pegasus+Layout
Т5	2.84 / 2.31	3.06 / 2.60	1.17 / 0.52	1.35 / 0.62	1.69 / 1.86	3.25 / 2.82
LED	_	0.22 / 0.29	1.67 / 1.79	1.49 / 1.69	1.15 / 0.45	0.41 / 0.51
LED+Layout	-	-	1.89 / 2.08	1.71 / 1.98	1.38 / 0.74	0.19/0.22
Pegasus	_	-	-	0.34 / 0.10	0.52 / 1.34	2.08 / 2.30
Pegasus+Layout	-	-	-	-	0.34 / 1.24	1.90 / 2.20
BigBird-Pegasus	-	-	_	-	-	1.56 / 0.96

Table 11: Absolute ROUGE-L score differences between each pair of models, on arXiv-Lay/PubMed-Lay.

Distribution	Q1			Q2	Q3		
	arXiv-Lay	PubMed-Lay	arXiv-Lay	PubMed-Lay	arXiv-Lay	PubMed-Lay	
Article Length	6,226	3,513	9,142	5,557	13,190	8,036	
Summary Length	119	130	159	182	202	247	
σ of bounding box height	3.37	1.34	3.98	1.73	4.70	2.28	

Table 12: Quartiles calculated from the distributions of article lengths, summary lengths, and variation in the height of bounding boxes, for arXiv-Lay and PubMed-Lay.

		Journal of Dissochidue and Histochidueg v 2006;5 (2006) 26-3111 (7) Obtim0137 v Impl/Jahlados.com JANUARYINY ARXIVA	
	MPF-2009-131	Anthocyanins and Human Health: An In Vitro Investigative Approach	
Experimental Review of Pho	on Structure Func-	May An Ela-	
ELON LARA		Department of Natural Resource & Inviewmental Sciences, College of Agricultural Environmental Sciences, University of Binnis, Usbans, R. 8200, USA	
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Inst chen, Germany, E-mail: Richard.Nielustimpp.mpg.de*	nat), Fölaringer Ring 6, D-80805 Mün-	Baurinal 2.April 2006, wreind 13 May 2006, aurpited 12 May 2006 Anthorymin pigments and anomisted dimensional have demonstrated ability to portest against a myrind of human diseases, yet they	
DOE will be assigned The servest knowledge of the structure of the solution is	errented barel on results obtained	have been neutwissingly difficult to truly which we have been been been been been been been be	
by measurements of photon structure functions at $\sigma^{+}\sigma^{-}$ for the QED structure of the photon as well as for the are also compared to recent parametrizations of the has bounded of failow observe atomized function measurement.	while a reasoning are presented both hadronic structures, where the data reason structure functions $F_{22}(r_{e}, Q^{0})$, main structure in an interaction of	antherpinent in a access description, howerableitig, and accumutation in various corpus. Arthropoints physication of the flow and that are auxiliaringly produced in a spin-paraly number lead plant and collassing professional the approximation plant and and auxiliaring for facilitation materians the second they are applied by a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are plant and profession are applied by a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are plant and and and profession are applied and a spin-plant and and and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are plant and a spin- and profession are applied and a spin-plant and and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are applied and a spin-plant and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are applied and a spin-plant and a spin-plant are applied and applied and applied and applied and applied are applied and applied and applied and applied and applied and applied and applied applied and applied and applied and applied and applied applied and applied applied applied applied applied	
Proports of failors photon structure functions measurements, especially at an laternational Linear Golider are outlined.		ANTHOCHARING AND BIOMEDICINAL PROPERTIES suggers that other mechanisms of action are also respon	
The measurements of photon structure functions have measurements was performed by the PLUTO Collaborat	long tradition since the first of such as in 1981. The investigations concern	Attribucyunits are members of the flavonid group instance on antenegative the intervent biastic boots (1), 4, 4, 2, Atthucyunits of physichemicalic, a group productiants in trac, henery, many provide potentiant from 100k classing, entroprisi wine, frank, regular, man, and ore adj.c.c., and consid	
the QED structure of the photon as well as the hadroni function $F_2^{-1}(x, Q^2)$ the main areas of interest are the evolution with the momentum scale Q^2 , which is pred	structure. For the hadronic structures binarios at low values of x and the ted by QCD to be logarithmic. The	The threading behaviour important industry come in disease emptyonesis, enzyme indubtions, boosting product of phonologic in force, comparing a groups of over 4000 to disease emptyonesis, character exploring immunare responses), C _{el} anomatic plant compounds with multiple substration and cynakinese, these regulating immunare responses).	
experimental information is dominated by the results in This review is based on earlier work [1, 2] and as an e figures, together with a comparison of the experiment $F^{-}(x, O^{2})$ that because available since theo. Only result	in the boar LLD' experiment. Trading procides, a number of updated à data, with new parametrizations of on the structure of councie-and instance	The primary physical and government backwards and the primary permittent of an impact, in an interaction The primary physics in this group distribution of the standard the an- computing standard (g. 7, 2, 6, 6). If the standard end of the standard end of the indi- fication of the standard end of the st	
are discussed here. The structure of virtual photons ar effective structure functions are detailed in [1].	d the corresponding measurements of	apigninki, fluenzanec (myricinki, natingin, beportink), dayou to shich anthropositic event their bioaction prop- natiogenisk, fluenz bio-(mochine, quintable, gluenz- erise), and, although scenariose classified separately fair- adio influence the intra-affault localization of the logi exhibit, and, although scenariose classified separately fair- adio influence the intra-affault localization of the logi exhibits.	
2 Structure function measurement The photon can flastuate into a fermion-sati-fermion et	ts ar consistent with the quantum num-	manarement spinnerses, manareme e reprintermenta in units. () The attractional interaction of the effect of the statistical dense is the construction of the effect of the statistical dense of the effect of th	
bere of the photon and within the limitations set by the I fluctuations are favored, i.e. have the longest lifetimes, i ality. If each a fluctuation of the photon is probed, the p	downlong uncertainty paintiple. These as high conception factors of low vitu- hoton reveals its structure. Using this	ponents of complex instrumes of Harmonial components. was assumed that only adjustors could inter the circu Daily invaks is similar down 500mmg or 1, but can be usered gifd if an individual is consuming flavinuid exapple- the counter both demonstrated. The na	
section of the deep-inelastic electron-photon scattering "devited tak presented at the Photosilly Conference in Handwi- ted by the section of the Photosilly Conference in Handwi-	process skitched in Figure 1. In this en May 12, 2009.	are equip to the second	
PBOTONOS	1	for useful compiging and articular expection of an article of the second	
		© 2000 Hadnen Schulzen Gergeneten	
(a) arXiv-Lay		(b) PubMed-Lay	
Les représentations des enseignants de 2 travers le prisme des élèves en j	EP sur la relation école/famille à rande réussite scolaire		
Publié dans la revue Cahier E&D 2017 Cahier N° 28 Families,	Parents, Ecole	DOt http://doi.org/10.22885/hospdomic=566.1.48	
Lien vers le site <u>Les cahiers pidagogiques</u> Nésarré Les familles sont des partenaires essentiels de l'école. Pour	nt, la misiton écde/famille est souvent décrite	Tendencias temporales de los patrones de búsquedo sobre Servicios de Atención de Salud a Domicilio antes y descués del COVID-19	
comme problématique. Quelles représentations les enseig milieu familial sur la réussite de leurs élèves 7 Nous avon professeurs des écoles IPEI en zone d'éducation prioritaire i	ants ort de cette relation et de l'influence du résilia turne enquite nationale aupris de 1790 127 Jouis des entertiens avec du de forter eux. Le	Temporal trends in Home Care Services search patterns	
prisme des élèves en grande réussite scolaire (EGRS) dans l perceptions des enseignants en fonction de la réussite de l'é d'élèves. Ils souhaitent daugnteux d'unvioration de la	x ZIP a été choisi pour étudier la d'Illérence de Jève. Les 95 décrivent le profit Idéal des parents les familles et voudraient métrie no olice une	before and after COVID-19	
nielle colducation qu'ils jugent indispensable à la néusite d	n dilver.	Ruban Palama-Linared @ 0000-0002-2850-0337 Julia Sanchaz-Somar @ 0000-0005-591-0337 Baining Palema-Linared in Onton.0003.5912-033	
Représentations – enseignants – coéducation – grande réus	ite scolaire - éducation prioritaire Caroline HACHE - ADRE - AMU	www.www.v.ukdbo.Ukdateter.ity. UVXVVV.2022.2023. 1. Ukowski Mitgaal Hinnichaka, Dapartamente da Salad Paldan w Henrin de la Carecia, Sant Jaan ef Hannet, Alaant, Equila. 2. Catyl generalised Monta di hanantanica an Antalia Palda Paldana. Kanan.	
	(carolies hashell uriv any b)	Literature events and other sectors and other sectors and the sectors of the sector process sequence. Literature for a sector of Dig Allow, Equation	
Intruduction Longu ¹ lle Hatelent la proportion d'élèves de milieu populaire ayest obtenu le baccaluuréai général sans		Comespondencia/Comespondence Pronociación/Funding Rabin Dataportement Enternational de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de	
recousser, Duid Ferhat et Terrail (2005) indique qu'un désir l entre les élèves qui néussissent et ceux qui échouent. On n catégorisation des conduites des élèves lorsqu'ils font face	ar: de u partos parents pue tárei la difíerece trouve dans la litérature (Lorceie, 2015) une una appretisuage en fonction de l'attitude de	menters m	
leurs parents. Les textes officiels ¹ encouragent une nelle considérée comme un partenaire de l'école avec une place le 2001). Que pensent les enseignants de ces déclarations 7 f	ion positive école/tamile, car la familie est oportante dans la acclastité de félieve (Housaye, ueles acot las regelerantations des exemigrants	29.09.2021 de vate tolikoja. Asspitudo Accepted	
concernant l'influence des familles populaires sur la réussite Notre étude se propose, dans une première enquête, d'i	scolaire de leur enfant ? terroger par questionnaire 1790 enseignants	12.10.2021 Conflicto de Internent/Comparing Internet	
d'école élémentaire, toutes en ITP ¹ , autour de leur quo enquête, de réaliser des entretiens avec dix d'entre eux.	dien dans ies classes et, dans une deuxième e wijst des parents d'élèves a pris une place me neur interneurie net Moisses à Storon (1907	Do, Galores no prevention controls de inference.	
p. 68) qui ont plus parlé « des porents que des élèves ». Le choix du prisme des élèves en grande réussite scolaire (60	R5) et donc ici, des parents de ceus-ci, a été pris		
pour étudier l'avis des enseignants sur un profil particulle (Hache, 2016) alors que l'on s'attendrait à ce qu'ils soient e les appelle les « néussites paradosales » car ils néussissent	, celui des familles dont les élèves réunissent difficulté scolaire. En effet, Charlot (2002, p. 7) des un milles culté de déburchés pour la	Over other the major Large to other parts	
réussite scolaire. Cela a permis aux enseignants de s'exprir entre les parents des EGRS et les autres.	er sur la différence ou l'absence de différence	Connections and instances (Non-Not Cities International Reductions temporales de los potones de biologodos as Polonocilizarias R, Sancha-Fonno), Polonocilizarias R, Redeccias temporales de los potones de biologodos as Sanchardo de anticipados as a constancia de la constancia del COMD-10 - Homo Eronio 2021 - Mari 1820-5	
	1	антова и типески на разли води и конструкцион на състоя у наран на състоя у наран на състоя у тараката, конструр, на ти	
		Heap Domic. 2021;5(4):167-165	
(c) HAL		(d) SciELO-ES	
-m: bist (Size Paulie), n.178, a02318, 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.11406/nov.2316-9461.h.2019.166021	0.00		
Alloco	UMA LUZ SOBRE AS	091 1225-0180 Januari di The Granes Required Sciences Acquired and J. Nac 2001. Neto-University 220103-hors.2011/312.2010 E-447040404 (1916)-9, 4515 4528 auto-14. &	
Normalitare en pergentitic unterfocipitatione encoded, interfocipitatione appleta interfocipitatione appleta interfoci		수도권 제조업 창업 활동의 공간적 분포 변화	
	OITOCENTOS: A	<i>–</i> 공간 마 <u>르코프</u> 체인의 응용 −*	
		송장현** - 암순범*** - 임업****	
	ue juau luiz Aikuza (1827-1828)	Changes in Spatial Distribution of Manufacturing Startup Activities in the Capital Region, Korea: A Spatial Markov Chain Approach*	
~·· •	Cilhanta da Silva Guindia"	Changhyan Seng* - Soorbeam Ahn** - Up Lim***	
Lotato Ruo Belo Horizonte, 423, apito 602 Bé020-060 – Londino – Paradi – Brasil guizelin gri@gmail.com	Grinzen o Gui Gui Gui Zellin Usterridode de São Paulo São Paulo – Brasil	역분요 약 본 년구는 2000년부터 2008년계여류 분석의 시간의 방위에 제조실 강점 활동이 관간했으 상태와 영제적 도구하는 것은 특별 사람에서는 또 동네에서는 또 동네에서 또한 여러 분석이 있는 것을 하는	
Resumo		기가되는 단어에서 #~738217781 United #~758745, 및 가격 및 방향을 이용과 48년 전환을 해당하는 것을 하는 것과 다. 문식을 위해 2000년부터 2016년부터가의 이 전문사업체로나, 의사니프프바이터 개조할 사업체 자료를 활용하였다. 한국선업업가드러도 EXEAS 관리에서 제시하는 44대 제조할 가능에 바이 제조하는 44대 세우 전조하는 44대 세우 전조하고 또 구	
Resumo Após a assinatura da Convenção de 1 verno de D. Pedro I concordou, em tr	226 com a Gel-Bretanha, pela qual o go- ca do reconhecimento británico, colher o	문한 후, 수도권 행동구성 음란동 수준에서 공간가가들한 문서 및 공간 아프고프 예정 문서를 수행하였다. 문서 가 과에 해지면, 고려가유산업간 및 중고적가유산업관과 발전 활동은 사건이 조리에 하라 돌기도 남부들 음소으로 구	
tráfico transatilántico de africanos par representações consulares brasileiras o lidade de proteger a atuação de negrei	o Império a partir de BRO, foran estadas a Africa Portuguesa com a explicita fina- tos busileiros nos dilitoros anos de leguis-	응되고 있는 것으로 나타났으며, 유가위가유산업근 및 가위가유산업근 전 영향가유산업근 전 위원가유산업근 전성 활동이 집중은 수도권 의적으로 본신 되고 있는 것으로 나타났는, 2000년부터가 의회 사람가위의 가위가 문항하여 가위가 위하여 가위가 위한 관련하고 관련하고 관련하는 이용 가위가 위한 또한 바람과 예측하셨었 법 20년 위에서 제외 사람들에 가위하는 것에 하는 것이 사람들이 가지 않는 것이 하는 것이	
nane no comércio de escravos sob a lo artigo investiga a atuação de João Luiz entre 1827 e 1828, na defesa do circuit Dara tanto - tento - entre	nenna engenna, veseli vettettito, o provenne Nenna, clonul de Braul em Moganimispan, o negro entre o Braul e Africa Oriental.	~~ 또 바 내에 가방하는 것으로 가 것이 않는 것이 같이 않는 것이 같이 않는 것이 않이	
rara tanto, o fexto aqui apresentado pr tação consular produzida por Airoza e Negócios Estrangeiros.	on asson some of CHIRDO & GOLUTERT- dirigida à antiga Secretaria de Estado dos	지금 아파	
Palavras-chave Relações internacionais - Relações I Tráfico de escravos - África Oriental.	rasii-Moçambique – Missão consular –	주지(0) 제조선, 당성, 당성, 당성하여관간가의 분석, 공간아이프로드 해당	
		Abstract. This study alow to explore how wanted ratio (see) and the state of the s	
* Todas as obras e todos os documentos utilizade citados nas notas e na bibliografía.	s na peopuisa e na elaboração do artigo são	monodata toma 2000 to 2000 to 2000 to 2000 to 2000 management of the management of the standard into four dealed industri * 이 논문은 2019년 대한전 4 44부터 전국선구위한터 인문사례들이 중선문구사이란스럽게 1955 원이 수명된 단구원인제로 2000~200	
¹⁰ Doutor em História pela Facultador de Clincias Harmanace Sociató de Franco, da Universidade Istadual Paulas Júlico Asequita Tilho Ticogo Ploved-actoroma em História pela Faculdade de Filozofa, Harmes Clincias Harmana, da Universidade de Sas Paula (1911) CU/29, Bolsha pir-		55A55200365896, 분석구는 3322년 특구가역체를 가격실하라마여 우수는단말을 수입한 특구성. = "관람원보 대학원 느냐관문에 가격관적인 관람가 관람 가장	
doc processo nº 2018/07780-1, Fundação de Amp 1	ro a revegana no totano de São Paulo (IAPESP).		
(e) SciFI	O-PT	(f) KoreaScience	
(e) SCIELO-PI		(1) 1010000000000	

